CPC Implementation at IMPI.

Pablo Zenteno Márquez

IP Expert

Date: 18 Februry, 2020.

Seventh CPC Annual Meeting, Geneva,

CPC Implementation

- **Benefits:** The office developed a informatics tool (ProClass) and got a better understanding of the Administrative Classification process.

- **Challenge:** There is no a implementation guide from CPC authority. In the other hand, at MX office there was no enough information of their own processes, so it requires, resources to do documentation.

- Improve Official communication with EPO related to the CPC project.

Classification/ Search

- CPC project increases the expertise of MX Examiners and the MX office get better searches of the state of the art.

Quality Assurance Process

QMS of CPC and IPC symbols of Granted patents and utility models, -- 2019 -- (B1 publication)

Month	BIO	ELE	CHE	FAR	MEC	Mod	
January	128	276	109	107	96	19	735
February	132	252	119	128	144	27	802
March	116	243	138	138	247	20	902
April	119	162	116	103	143	12	655
May	164	203	129	113	171	19	799
June	98	183	99	121	145	27	673
July	112	210	111	144	176	17	770
August	174	192	132	176	202	30	906
September	148	190	128	145	175	29	815
October	154	265	122	199	208	41	989
November	134	198	125	152	141	25	775
December	45	91	111	126	216	11	600
							Totals
Totals	1,524	2,465	1,439	1,652	2,064	277	9,421

CPC Revision Projects

- **Advice.** To keep the data of previous versions of CPC Masterfiles in the CPC.org web page, for at least six months.

- Today, the information is deleted when it appear a new CPC version, in February it deletes the information of January too soon.

CPC Data Exchange

- MX office has exchange data, but apparently it has some format problems, but we are still waiting for feedback.

- There is no feedback of the data received by EPO.

- The communications from EPO are slowly and with reduced details of how to correct the data exchange problems.

- There is not a clear specification of data format to be used to exchange data.

CPC Data Exchange

- MX office has exchange data, but apparently it has some format problems, but we are still waiting for feedback.

- There is no feedback of the data received by EPO.

- The communications from EPO are slowly and with reduced details of how to correct the data exchange problems.

- There is not a clear specification of data format to be used to exchange data.

Additional comments

- In the CPC XML Masterfiles, there is a problem with the hierarchical information of symbols in Subclass G16H.

- In the OPS webservice, please include the tag of the first and inventive classification symbol assigned by EP and/or US office. It is information useful for NOs to do the allocation of applications to the correct substantive examination department. There is only tags for inventive or additional information.

Thank you